

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/815,003	03/31/2004	Jack Polonka	J6895(C)	1276		
201 75	90 04/22/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER		
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	NTELLECTUAL PRO	OGDEN JR, I	OGDEN JR, NECHOLUS			
700 SYLVAN AVENUE, BLDG C2 SOUTH			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	CLIFFS, NJ 07632-31	00	1751			

DATE MAILED: 04/22/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application N	No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/815,003		POLONKA ET AL	· •			
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
	Necholus Ogo	ien	1751				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the co	ver sheet with the c	orrespondence a	ddress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. - after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	. 136(a). In no event, h ply within the statutory d will apply and will exp te, cause the applicati	nowever, may a reply be time minimum of thirty (30) days bire SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered time the mailing date of this of				
_							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 /	<i>Marcn 2004</i> . is action is non-	final					
			secution as to th	o morite is			
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims	,						
4) Claim(s) 1-32 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-32 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	awn from consid	·					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin	ıer						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac		objected to by the E	Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ction is required it	f the drawing(s) is obj	jected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. Note	the attached Office	Action or form P	TO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been rents have been rents documents au (PCT Rule 1	eceived. eceived in Applicati have been receive 7.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage			
Attachment(s)							
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/04. 		Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da Notice of Informal P Other:	ate	O-152)			

A

Application/Control Number: 10/815,003 Page 2

Art Unit: 1751

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 4. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any

Application/Control Number: 10/815,003 Page 3

Art Unit: 1751

evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

- 5. Claims 1-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Zhang et al 6,780,826.
- 6. Zhang et al disclose a bar composition comprising a modified titanium dioxide coated mica particle; 5 to 90% by weight of a surfactant such as anionic, nonionic, amphoteric, and zwitterionic; 0.1 to 20% by weight of water; structurants; cationic polymers and adjunct materials (abstract; col. 8, line 65-col. 10, line 17).
- 7. As this reference teaches all of the instantly required it is considered anticipatory.
- 8. Zhang et al is silent with respect to the functional language with respect to the optical modifier.
- 9. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to expect the modified titanium dioxide particle to exhibit the characteristics of the claimed optical modifier, because applicant teaches titanium dioxide may be considered as a choice of said optical modifier.

Double Patenting

10. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

Art Unit: 1751

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

11. Claims 1-32 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims of copending Application No. 10/814,453; 10/443,396; 10/997,180; 11/043,315; 10/996,532. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because compositions with an optical modifier, surfactants, deposition aids and structurant/adjunct additives.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Necholus Ogden whose telephone number is 571-272-1322. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Yogendra N. Gupta can be reached on 571-272-1316. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/815,003 Page 5

Art Unit: 1751

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Necholus Ogden Primary Examiner Art Unit 1751

No 4-18-05